* 1. Theoretical foundations for greedy methods

There is a beautiful theory about greedy algorithms, which we sketch in this section. This theory is useful in determining when the greedy method yields optimal solutions. It involves combinatorial structures known as "matroids." Although this theory does not cover all cases for which a greedy method applies (for example, it does not cover the activity-selection problem of Section 17.1 or the Huffman coding problem of Section 17.3), it does cover many cases of practical interest. Furthermore, this theory is being rapidly developed and extended to cover many more applications; see the notes at the end of this chapter for references.

# Matroids

A ***matroid*** is an ordered pair  satisfying the following conditions.

1. *S* is a finite nonempty set.

2.  is a nonempty family of subsets of *S*, called the ***independent*** subsets of *S*, such that if  and *A B*, then . We say that  is ***hereditary*** if it satisfies this property. Note that the empty set  is necessarily a member of  .

3. If *,* and *|A| < |B|*, then there is some element *x B - A* such that . We say that *M* satisfies the ***exchange property***.



The word "matroid" is due to Hassler Whitney. He was studying ***matric matroids***, in which the elements of *S* are the rows of a given matrix and a set of rows is independent if they are linearly independent in the usual sense. It is easy to show that this structure defines a matroid (see Exercise 17.4-2).

As another illustration of matroids, consider the ***graphic***

***matroid *** defined in terms of a given undirected graph *G =* ( *V, E*) as follows.

The set *SG* is defined to be *E*, the set of edges of *G*.

If *A* is a subset of *E*, then  if and only if *A* is acyclic. That is, a set of edges is independent if and only if it forms a forest.

The graphic matroid *MG* is closely related to the minimum-spanning-tree problem, which is covered in detail in Chapter 24.

Theorem 17.5

If *G* is an undirected graph, then  is a matroid.


***Proof*** Clearly, *SG* = *E* is a finite set. Furthermore,  is hereditary, since a subset of a forest is a forest. Putting it another way, removing edges from an acyclic set of edges cannot create cycles.

Thus, it remains to show that *MG* satisfies the exchange property. Suppose

that *A* and *B* are forests of *G* and that |*B| > |*A|. That is, *A* and *B* are acyclic sets of edges, and *B* contains more edges than *A* does.

It follows from Theorem 5.2 that a forest having *k* edges contains exactly |*V|-*

k *trees. (To prove this another way, begin with |*V| trees and no edges. Then, each edge that is added to the forest reduces the number of trees by one.) Thus,

forest *A* contains |*V| - |*A| trees, and forest *B* contains |*V| - |*B| trees.

Since forest *B* has fewer trees than forest *A* does, forest *B* must contain some tree *T* whose vertices are in two different trees in forest *A*. Moreover, since *T* is

connected, it must contain an edge (*u, v*) such that vertices *u* and *v* are in different trees in forest *A*. Since the edge (*u, v*) connects vertices in two different trees in forest *A*, the edge (*u, v*) can be added to forest *A* without creating a cycle.

Therefore, *MG* satisfies the exchange property, completing the proof that *MG* is a matroid.

Given a matroid , we call an

element *x A* an ***extension*** of  if *x* can be added to *A* while preserving independence; that is, *x* is an extension of *A* if . As an example, consider a graphic matroid *MG*. If *A* is an independent set of edges, then edge *e* is an extension of *A* if and only if *e* is not in *A* and the addition of *x* to *A* does not create a cycle.

If *A* is an independent subset in a matroid *M*, we say that *A* is ***maximal*** if it has no extensions. That is, *A* is maximal if it is not contained in any larger independent subset of *M*. The following property is often useful.

Theorem 17.6

All maximal independent subsets in a matroid have the same size.

***Proof*** Suppose to the contrary that *A* is a maximal independent subset of *M* and there exists another larger maximal independent subset *B* of *M*. Then, the exchange property implies that *A* is extendable to a larger independent set *A* {*x*} for

some *x B* - *A*, contradicting the assumption that *A* is maximal.

As an illustration of this theorem, consider a graphic matroid *MG* for a connected, undirected graph *G*. Every maximal independent subset of *MG* must be a free tree with exactly |*V| - 1 edges that connects all the vertices of* G*. Such a tree is called a* **spanning tree** *of* G*.*

We say that a matroid is ***weighted*** if there is an associated weight function *w* that assigns a strictly positive weight *w*(*x*) to each element *x S*. The weight function *w* extends to subsets of *S* by summation:



for any *A S*. For example, if we let *w*(*e*) denote the length of an edge *e* in a graphic matroid *MG*, then *w*(*A*) is the total length of the edges in edge set *A*.

# Greedy algorithms on a weighted matroid

Many problems for which a greedy approach provides optimal solutions can be formulated in terms of finding a maximum-weight independent subset in a weighted matroid. That is, we are given a weighted matroid , and we wish to find an independent set  such that *w*(*A*) is maximized. We call such a subset that is independent and has maximum possible weight an ***optimal*** subset of the matroid. Because the weight *w*(*x*) of any element *x S* is positive, an optimal subset is always a maximal independent subset--it always helps to make *A* as large as possible.

For example, in the ***minimum-spanning-tree problem***, we are given a connected undirected graph *G* = (*V, E*) and a length function *w* such that *w* (*e*) is the (positive) length of edge *e*. (We use the term "length" here to refer to the original edge weights for the graph, reserving the term "weight" to refer to the weights in the associated matroid.) We are asked to find a subset of the edges that connects all of the vertices together and has minimum total length. To view this as a problem of finding an optimal subset of a matroid, consider the weighted matroid *MG* with weight function *w*'*, where* w*'*(*e*) = *w*0 - *w*(*e*) and *w*0 is larger than the maximum length of any edge. In this weighted matroid, all weights are positive and an optimal subset is a spanning tree of minimum total length in the original graph.


More specifically, each maximal independent subset *A* corresponds to a spanning tree, and since


*w'*(*A*) *=* (|*V| - 1)*w*0 -* w*(*A*)*

for any maximal independent subset *A*, the independent subset that maximizes *w'*(*A*) must minimize *w*(*A*). Thus, any algorithm that can find an

optimal subset *A* in an arbitrary matroid can solve the minimum-spanning-tree problem.

Chapter 24 gives algorithms for the minimum-spanning-tree problem, but here we give a greedy algorithm that works for any weighted matroid. The algorithm takes

as input a weighted matroid with an an associated positive weight function *w*, and it returns an optimal subset *A*. In our pseudocode, we denote the components of *M* by *S*[*M*] and  and the weight function by *w*. The algorithm is greedy because it considers each element *x S* in turn in order of nonincreasing weight and immediately adds it to the set *A* being accumulated if *A* {*x*} is independent.



The elements of *S* are considered in turn, in order of nonincreasing weight. If the element *x* being considered can be added to *A* while maintaining *A*'s independence, it is. Otherwise, *x* is discarded. Since the empty set is independent by the definition of a matroid, and since *x* is only added to *A* if *A* {*x*} is independent, the

subset *A* is always independent, by induction. Therefore, GREEDY always returns an independent subset *A*. We shall see in a moment that *A* is a subset of maximum possible weight, so that *A* is an optimal subset.

The running time of GREEDY is easy to analyze. Let *n* denote |*S|*. The sorting phase of GREEDY takes time *O*(*n* l*g n*). Line 4 is executed exactly *n* times, once for each element of *S*. Each execution of line 4 requires a check on whether or not the

set *A* {*x*} is independent. If each such check takes time *O*(*f(n*)), the entire algorithm runs in time *O*(*n* l*g n* + *nf*(*n*)).

We now prove that GREEDY returns an optima1 subset. Lemma 17.7

Suppose that  is a weighted matroid with weight function *w* and that *S* is sorted into nonincreasing order by weight. Let *x* be the first element of *S* such that

{*x*} is independent, if any such *x* exists. If *x* exists, then there exists an optimal subset *A* of *S* that contains *x*.


***Proof*** If no such *x* exists, then the only independent subset is the empty set and we're done. Otherwise, let *B* be any nonempty optimal subset. Assume that *x B*; otherwise, we let *A* = *B* and we're done.

No element of *B* has weight greater than *w*(*x*). To see this, observe

that *y B* implies that {*y*} is independent, since  and *I* is hereditary. Our choice of *x* therefore ensures that *w*(*x*) *w*(*y*) for any *y B*.

Construct the set *A* as follows. Begin with *A* = {*x*}. By the choice of *x, A* is independent. Using the exchange property, repeatedly find a new element of *B* that can be added to *A* until |*A| = |*B| while preserving the independence of *A*. Then, *A*

*= B -* {*y*} {*x*} for some *y B*, and so

*w*(*A*) *= w*(*B*) *- w*(*y*) + *w*(*x*) *w*(*B*) .

Because *B* is optimal, *A* must also be optimal, and because *x A*, the lemma is proven.

We next show that if an element is not an option initially, then it cannot be an option later.

Lemma 17.8

Let be any matroid. If *x* is an element of *S* such that *x* is not an extension of , then *x* is not an extension of any independent subset *A* of *S.*



***Proof*** The proof is by contradiction. Assume that *x* is an extension of *A* but not of . Since *x* is an extension of *A*, we have that *A* {*x*} is independent.

Since  is hereditary, {*x*} must be independent, which contradicts the assumption that *x* is not an extension of .

Lemma 17.8 says that any element that cannot be used immediately can never be used. Therefore, GREEDY cannot make an error by passing over any initial elements in *S* that are not an extension of , since they can never be used.

Lemma 17.9

Let *x* be the first element of *S* chosen by GREEDY for the weighted

matroid . The remaining problem of finding a maximum-weight independent subset containing *x* reduces to finding a maximum-weight independent subset of the weighted matroid , where




the weight function for *M*' *is the weight function for* M*, restricted to* S*'*. (We call *M*' *the* **contraction** *of* M *by the element* x*.)*

***Proof*** If *A* is any maximum-weight independent subset of *M* containing *x*, then *A*' =

A - *{*x*} is an independent subset of* M*'*. Conversely, any independent

subset *A*' *of* M*'* yields an independent subset *A* = *A*' *{*x*} of* M*. Since we have in both cases that* w*(*A*) =* w*(*A*'*) + *w*(*x*), a maximum-weight solution

in *M* containing *x* yields a maximum-weight solution in *M*', and vice versa. Theorem 17.10

If  is a weighted matroid with weight function *w,* then the call GREEDY(*M, w*) returns an optimal subset.

***Proof*** By Lemma 17.8, any elements that are passed over initially because they are not extensions of  can be forgotten about, since they can never be useful. Once the first element *x* is selected, Lemma 17.7 implies that GREEDY does not err by adding *x* to *A*, since there exists an optimal subset containing *x*. Finally, Lemma

17.9 implies that the remaining problem is one of finding an optimal subset in the matroid *M'* that is the contraction of *M* by *x*. After the

procedure GREEDY sets *A* to{*x*}, all of its remaining steps can be interpreted as acting in the matroid , because *B* is independent in *M'* if and only

if *B* {*x*} is independent in *M*, for all sets . Thus, the subsequent operation of GREEDY will find a maximum-weight independent subset for *M'*, and the overall operation of GREEDY will find a maximum-weight independent subset for *M*.